

JAIPURIA INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT PGDM; TRIMESTER I; ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-20

Course Code and title	GM 202, Workshop on Design Thinking (WODT)
Credits	1
Term and Year	II Term, 2020 -21
Course Pre-requisite(s)	NA
Course Requirement(s)	NA
Course Schedule (day and time of	10 sessions (each of 90 minutes duration)
class)	
Classroom # (Location)	Online
Course Instructor	Dr. Shalini Verma
Course Instructor Email	shalini.verma@jaipuria.ac.in
Course Instructor Phone (Office)	+91-9911589759
Student Consultation Hours	Monday to Friday 2:00 – 5:00 PM
Office location	Cabin No. 8, Faculty Area, 1st floor

1. Course Overview:

In today's increasing complexity of digital technology and modern business, customers are increasingly choosing products and services based on the quality of the experiences they have with them. To help meet these challenges, an approach known as "Design Thinking" is playing a great role in finding meaningful pathways - its process and tools are increasingly being adopted in Lean Six Sigma processes and in organizational innovation initiatives. Design thinking is a human-centered, iterative problem-solving process of discovery, ideation, and experimentation that employs various design-based techniques to gain insight and yield innovative solutions for virtually any type of

organizational or business challenge. A Design Thinking mindset is essential for development of

Internet of Things (IoT) platforms, smart products and Smart Cities. Industry practitioners of Design

Thinking include Apple, Google, Samsung, Uber, Airbnb, IDEO, Nike, Procter & Gamble, Singapore

Airlines, DBS Bank,

In this action-oriented workshop, students will work in teams (6-8), guided by facilitator to experience

a customer-centric approach to problem solving through re-imagination of end-to-end customer

experience journey. Students will develop skills such as ethnographers, visual thinkers, strategists and

story-tellers through a hybrid of workshop discussions and activities. It covers building empathy

through ethnographic research, generating ideas, prototyping and testing new concepts.

The goal of this course is that students acquire Design Thinking skills. This is a workshop-based course

where students learn by doing. Nowadays, Design Thinking and its tools are used by product and

industrial design firms to ideate products. It is also used to solve so called "wicked problems" –

problems for which neither question nor the answer is well defined.

2. Graduate Attributes (GAs), Key Differentiators (KDs), Programme Learning Outcomes

(PLOs), and CLOs

Graduate Attributes (GAs)

GA 1: Self-initiative

GA 2: Deep Discipline knowledge

GA 3: Critical Thinking and Problem Solving

GA 4: Humility, Team-Building and Leadership Skills

GA 5: Open and Clear Communication

GA 6: Global Outlook

GA 7: Ethical Competency and Sustainable Mindset

GA 8: Entrepreneurial and Innovative

Key Differentiators

KD 1: Entrepreneurial Mindset

KD 2: Critical Thinking

KD 3: Sustainable Mindset

2

KD 4: Team-Player

Programme Learning Outcomes (PLOs)

The graduates of PGDM at the end of the programme will be able to:

PLO1: Communicate effectively

PLO2: Demonstrate ability to work in teams to achieve desired goals

PLO3: Reflect on business situations applying relevant conceptual frameworks

PLO4: Deconstruct ethical business practices

PLO5: Comprehend sustainability issues

PLO 6: Exhibit creative thinking

Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs):

After attending the workshop, the students will be able to:

CLO1. Articulate the Design Thinking principles, process and tools. Articulate

CLO2. Apply Design Thinking framework for ideation.

CLO3 Create a Prototype (Paper , Digital , Actual) of the proposed solution.

3. Mappings

Mapping of CLOs with GAs

	GA 1	GA 2	GA 3	GA 4	GA 5	GA 6	GA 7	GA 8
	Self-	Deep	Critica	Humility,	Open and	Global	Ethical	Entrepren
	initiativ	discipl	1	Team-	Clear	outloo	competenc	eurial
	e	ine	thinkin	Building	Commun	k	у	and
		knowl	g &	and	ication		&sustaina	innovativ
		edge	Proble	Leadership			ble	e
			m	Skills			mindset	
			solvin					
			g					
CLO 1								X
CLO 2								X

Mapping of CLOs with Key Differentiators (KDs)

	KD 1	KD 2	KD 3	KD 4
	(Entrepreneurial	(Critical Thinking)	(Sustainability	(Team
	Mindset)		Mindset)	Player)
CLO 1	X			
CLO 2	X			

Mapping of CLOs with PLOs

	PLO 1	PLO 2	PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6
CLO 1						
CLO 2						
CLO 3						I

4. Books & References:

Recommended Readings:

- Tim Brown (2009). Change by Design: How Design Thinking Transforms Organizations and Inspires Innovation. Harper Collins.
- Design for the Real World by Victor Papanek
- This is Service Design Thinking by Marc Stickdorn and Jakob Schneider
- Wicked Problems in Design Thinking by Richard Buchanan
- Designing for Service: Creating an Experience Advantage by Hugh Dubberly and Shelley Evenson
- Back to Drawing Board Schumpeter https://www.economist.com/news/business/21580444-design-companies-are-applying-their-skills-voluntary-and-public-sectors-back

5. Session Plan

Workshop	Topic/ Sub Topic	Reading Reference	Pedagogy	CLOs
No.				
1	Introduction & Problem	https://www.ideou.co	Caselets /	CLO
	Discovery	m/blogs/inspiration/w	Examples /	1
	What is design	hat-is-design-thinking	Video	
	thinking?			

			1	
	What design thinking			
	is not?			
	Applications of design			
	thinking in industries.			
	 Benefits of design 			
	thinking.			
	Case studies of design			
	thinking.			
	People centered design			
	thinking.			
	Evoking the right			
	problem			
2	Discovering and Framing the	Sandbox design	Hands on	CLO
	problem statement – what	thinking guide	Activity	1
	really is the issue?	https://dschool-	followed by	
	Observation Methods	old.stanford.edu/sandb	250-300	
	Interviewing and	ox/groups/designresou	word	
	Storytelling skills	rces/wiki/36873/attach	writeup &	
	• Immersion	ments/74b3d/ModeGu	supporting	
	What-Why-How-Whom	ideBOOTCAMP2010	2-3- minute	
	•	L.pdf	video byte	
	Offline	e Activity		
	Problem Discovery using obser	rvation and interview tech	nnique's	
It	ndividual assignment each studen	t to prepare a three slide p	presentation	
3	Pro	blem Identification		
	Presentations by stude	ents in study groups of inc	lividual ideas	
	One idea per gr	roup to be nominated by f	aculty	
	Empathize - understand	Empathy Canvas	Enomassianle	CLO
4	your customers/users	Identifying Customer	Framework	2
		Personas	and	
	1	<u> </u>	l .	

			Breakout	
			session	
	<u>Define</u>			CLO
	• Define - define clear			2,3
5	project/business		Framework	
	objectives		and	
		Develop Hyothesis	Breakout	
	Stakeholder Map		session	
	Customer Journey			
	 Opportunity 			
Test - re	eview and decide			
	Offline	e Activity		
	Testing the Hypo	othesis (Field Work)		
6	Ideate			CLC
	• Ideate - explore ideas and			2
	solutions		F	
		ADD AI from every als	Framework and Break	
		ADRAI framework		
	• <u>I</u> deation technique		Out session	
	using ADRAI			
	•			
7	Prototype - build and		In class	CLC
	visualize ideas and	Activity class	exercise	3
	solutions			
	Student Groups work on			
	Products Selected / Modified/			
	Prototypes developed by			
	them.			
		•		

Design Thinking Tools & Templates

- Empathize Personas, empathy map and user feedback
- **Define -** Point of view, how might we, stakeholder map, customer journeys, context map and opportunity map
- **Ideate** *Ideation techniques* (e.g. Brain writing, Nyaka method, What if, etc.), sketches, prioritization matrix, affinity diagram and idea evaluation matrix
- **Prototype** Physical prototypes, wireframes and storyboards
- **Test** *User feedback, observation and evaluation matrix*

• Teaching Pedagogy

- Case studies
- Lectures
- Discussions
- Hands-on group exercises
- Ideation Sprint
- Videos

Instructional Methods & Expectations

The learning in this class will be roughly split into lecture/discussion and "in-class exercises" or project work. Learning will primarily be experiential in nature – through case analyses, group exercises, and a team project. Prototypes using paper or other easily accessed materials will be expected of this project. Teams of six to eight students will be formed for the project/exercises.

6. Assessment Tasks

Assessment	Description	Weightage	CLOs
Component			
Problem Identification	Each Student to submit a 3 slide	20	CLO 1
	presentation on the problem discovered		
	in offline activity post session		
Project Report	Group Assignment (5 students per	40	CLO 2
	group): Prepare a 500 word report on the		

	Problem Identified and the solution	Report 500	
	proposed .	Words – 10	
	This report is to submitted along with the	Marks	
	empathy canvas, stakeholder map,	Empathy	
	customer personas, opportunity and	Canvas –	
	customer journey map .	10 Marks	
		Customer	
		Persona 10	
		Marks	
		Customer	
		Journey	
		Map – 10	
		Marks	
Project Presentation	Group Presentation in Session 7	20	CLO 2
Prototype submission	Create and submit Prototype	20	CLO 3
	(Paper, Digital, Actual)		

PLO 6: Exhibit creative thinking: Be able to generate new and imaginative ideas, make connections between seeming unrelated phenomena and use unorthodox methods to generate a solution.

PLO 6: Exhibit creative thinking			
Competencies	Traits/Performance Indicators (PI)		
Be able to generate new and imaginative	6.1 Generates new and imaginative ideas		
ideas, make connections between	6.2 Make connections between seemingly unrelated		
seeming unrelated phenomena and use	phenomena		
unorthodox methods to generate a	6.3 Does not hesitate to use unorthodox methods to		
solution.	generate a solution		

Rubrics

Traits	Below Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectation
Generate new	Rarely generates new	Generates many new	Consistently generates
and	and imaginative ideas.	and unique ideas	new and imaginative
imaginative	Reproduces existing		ideas
ideas	ideas.		
Connect	Unable to connect	Searches for new and	Pursues new methods
seemingly	disparate ideas. Cannot	effective methods,	and solutions, thinks
unrelated	make connections	makes connections	outside the box and
phenomena	between previously	between previously	connects disparate
	unrelated ideas.	unrelated ideas.	ideas.
Use	Tries old and tested	Attempts to try	Does not hesitate to go
unorthodox	solutions to problems.	unorthodox and	beyond traditional
methods to	Sticks to conventional	unconventional	boundaries. Prefers to
generate	methods.	methods to generate	use an unorthodox
solutions		solutions, but prefers	method, if one exists.
		to stick to	
		conventional	
		methods.	

Assessment Rubrics

1. Rubrics for PI (Problem Identification) Assessment Task

Criteria	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
	(Below 30%)	(30%-60%)	(60%-80%)	(80% or above)
	Developing	Approaching	Proficient	Advanced
		Proficiency		
Content of	Provided a very	Provided a	Provided a clear	Provided a very
Report	weak overview of	limited overview	overview of the	clear overview of
	the selected	of the selected	selected	the selected
(50 %)	'problem'.	'problem'.	'problem'.	'problem'.

	Very limited	Limited linking	Successfully	Clearly made
	linking of the	of the 'problem'	made some	STRONG links
	'problem' and its	and its 'scope' to	links between	between the
	'scope'	current socio-	the 'problem'	'problem' and its
		economic &	and its 'scope'	'scope' to current
		technological	to current socio-	socio-economic
		situation.	economic &	& technological
			technological	situation.
			situation.	
Delivery	Hard to follow	Most ideas flow	Clear flow of	Very clear and
and	the flow of ideas.	but focus is lost	ideas	concise flow of
Enthusiasm	Lack of	at times	Demonstrates	ideas.
	enthusiasm and	Limited evidence	interest in the	Demonstrates
(50 %)	interest.	of interest in and	'identified	passionate
		engagement with	problem' and	interest in the
		the 'identified	engagement	'identified
		problem'	with the class.	problem' and
				engagement with
				the class.

2. Rubrics for Project Report

 $Report\ 500\ Words-10\ Marks$

Empathy Canvas – 10 Marks

Customer Persona 10 Marks

Customer Journey Map – 10 Marks

Criteria	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
	(Below 30%)	(30%-60%)	(60%-80%)	(80% or above)
	Developing	Approaching	Proficient	Advanced
		Proficiency		

Content	The content of the	The content of the	The content of	The content of
of	Project Report	Project Report	the Project	the Project
Report	provided a very	provided a limited	Report provided	Report provided a
	weak overview on	overview on DT	a clear overview	very clear
(50 %)	DT process of the	process of the	on DT process	overview on DT
	selected 'problem'.	selected	of the selected	process of the
	Very limited	'problem'.	'problem'.	selected
	linking of the	Limited linking of	Successfully	'problem'.
	'problem' and its	the 'problem' and	made some links	Clearly made
	'scope' including	its 'scope'	between the	STRONG links
	'empathy canvas'	including	'problem' and	between the
	& 'Customer	'empathy canvas'	its 'scope'	'problem' and its
	Persona'	& 'Customer	including	'scope' including
		Persona' to	'empathy	'empathy canvas'
		current socio-	canvas' &	& 'Customer
		economic &	'Customer	Persona' to
		technological	Persona' to	current socio-
		situation.	current socio-	economic &
			economic &	technological
			technological	situation.
			situation.	
Delivery	Hard to follow the	Most ideas flow	Clear flow of	Very clear and
and	flow of ideas.	but focus is lost at	ideas	concise flow of
Enthusia	Lack of enthusiasm	times	Demonstrates	ideas.
sm	and interest.	Limited evidence	interest and	Demonstrates
		of interest and	engagement	passionate
(50 %)		engagement with	with the DT	interest and
		the DT process	process	engagement with
		including	including	the DT process
		'empathy canvas'	'empathy	including
			canvas' &	'empathy canvas'

& 'Customer	'Customer	& 'Customer
Persona'	Persona'	Persona'

3. Rubrics for Project Presentation

Criteria	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Good
	(Below 35%)	(35% – Below 70%)	(70% and Above)
Presentation	Provided a very	Provided a clear	Provided a very clear
Content	weak overview of	overview of the	overview of the selected
	the selected Topic.	selected Topic.	Topic.
(50 %)	Very limited	Successfully made	Clearly linked the topic
	linking of the topic	some linked the topic	to current management
	to current	to current	practices. Described the
	management	management	management
	practices.	practices.	practices and how those
		Made some linkage to	were
	Made very little to	management practices	related to the content in
	no linkage to	and content in the	the book and article.
	management	book and article.	
	practices and		
	content in		
	the book and article.		
Visuals	No use of visuals.	Use of visuals related	Visuals augmented and
		to the material	extended
(15 %)			comprehension of the
			issues in unique ways

Delivery and	Hard to follow the	Clear flow of ideas	Very clear and concise
Enthusiasm	flow of ideas.	Demonstrates interest	flow of ideas.
	Lack of enthusiasm	in topic and	Demonstrates
(15 %)	and interest.	engagement with the	passionate interest in
		class.	the topic and

			engagement with the
			class.
Involvement	Little or no attempt	Questions and	Excellent and salient
of	to	discussion	discussion
the class:	engage the class in	addressed important	points that elucidated
			material
-Questions	learning	information that	to develop deep
		developed	understanding
-Generating		understanding	Appropriate and
			imaginative
discussion		Appropriate activities	activities used to extend
		used	
-Activities		to clarify	understanding in a
		understanding	creative
(20 %)			manner

4. Rubrics for Prototype

Criteria	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
	(Below 30%)	(30%-60%)	(60%-80%)	(80% or above)
	Developing	Approaching	Proficient	Advanced
		Proficiency		
Content of	Provided a very	Provided a	Provided a clear	Provided a very
Report	weak overview of	limited overview	overview of the	clear overview of
	the selected	of the selected	selected	the selected
(50 %)	'problem'.	'problem'.	'problem'.	'problem'.
	Very limited	Limited linking	Successfully	Clearly made
	linking of the	of the 'problem'	made some	STRONG links
	'problem' and its	and its 'scope' to	links between	between the
	'scope'	current socio-	the 'problem'	'problem' and its
		economic &	and its 'scope'	'scope' to current
			to current socio-	socio-economic

		technological	economic &	& technological
		situation.	technological	situation.
			situation.	
Delivery	Hard to follow	Most ideas flow	Clear flow of	Very clear and
and	the flow of ideas.	but focus is lost	ideas	concise flow of
Enthusiasm	Lack of	at times	Demonstrates	ideas.
	enthusiasm and	Limited evidence	interest in the	Demonstrates
(50 %)	interest.	of interest in and	'identified	passionate
		engagement with	problem' and	interest in the
		the 'identified	engagement	'identified
		problem'	with the class.	problem' and
				engagement with
				the class.

7. Academic Conduct

Institute's Policy Statements

It is the responsibility of every student to be aware of the requirements for this course, and understand the specific details included in this document. It is emphasized that this course requires a significant commitment outside of formal class contact. The learning tasks in this course may include classes (lectures or seminars), required reading, preparation of answers to set questions, exercises and problems, and self-study. In addition, students may be required to complete an assignment, test or examination.

LMS-Moodle/Impartus

LMS-Moodle/Impartus is used to host course resources for all courses. Students can download lectures, additional reading materials, and tutorial notes to support class participation.

Late Submission

Assessment tasks submitted after the due date, without prior approval/arrangement, will be not be accepted. Requests for extension of time must be made with the faculty member concerned and based on Special Consideration guidelines.

Plagiarism:

Plagiarism is looked at as the presentation of the expressed thought or work of another person as though it is one's own without properly acknowledging that person.

Cases of plagiarism will be dealt with according to Plagiarism Policy of the institute. It is advisable that students should read the Student Handbook for detailed guidelines. It is also advisable that students must not allow other students to copy their work and must take care to safeguard against this happening. In cases of copying, normally all students involved will be penalized equally; an exception will be if the students can demonstrate that the work is their own and they took reasonable care to safeguard against copying.